Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/723,587	SEGELER, ARMIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David D. Le	3681
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David D. Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Klause Bach</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 8		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	pplicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

+ - to 10 mi

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney authorizes examiner to amend the instant application as follows: Claim 8:

Line 3, "the ignition lock" has been replaced with --an ignition lock--.